Ultrasoundography as a Modern Teaching Support to the Anatomy Course: Is It Beneficial for Medical Students?

To the Editor,

The approach to evaluating anatomy teaching effectiveness has changed over time (from ancient Egypt through Baroque to modern times). In the 20th century, medical schools started to use first radiological images, such as roentgen images, during anatomy classes. Nowadays, computed tomographs, magnetic resonance images and ultrasoundography are also widely used. At Mount Sinai School of Medicine in New York, new didactic methods, which included minimally invasive approaches, radiological imaging and plastinated prosections, are incorporated into anatomy courses for medical students. However, we should keep in mind that undergraduate medical curriculum, especially during the first year, is already overcrowded. Thus, every new supportive teaching method must have its efficacy carefully analyzed and scientifically proven before being introduced into medical schools.

Ultrasoundography (US) is a cheap, easy-to-reach and safe supplement to the anatomy course. US practical classes can facilitate understanding of topographic anatomy. Students need to know the exact location of each anatomical structure in order to retrieve a proper US image.

In 2006, Rao and colleagues conducted a pilot study of integrating US curriculum into the first-year medical programme at the Wayne State University School of Medicine. They reported many advantages of their US courses, which pay special heed to the importance of knowing the basic US rules during the first year of studies. Subsequently, in 2014, Dreher and colleagues, in their research conducted on 269 first year medical students, proved that ultrasonography can be a valuable supplement to the musculoskeletal, thoracic, abdominal and neck anatomy lessons. They showed, on the basis of pre-and post-lesson surveys, that students significantly improved self-confidence with regard to their anatomical knowledge. Additionally, students were able to perform a basic US examination on their own following course completion.

Many works concerning the usefulness of US in teaching anatomy were conducted on the basis of questionnaires. All of them reported positive evaluations of the US classes by the students, although none of them compared the results of their studies with a control group. The only study trying to examine the positive influence of US on anatomy classes using a comparison group was performed by Knobe and colleagues in 2012. They proved that ultrasonography has a better effect on the understanding of anatomy compared to arthroscopy.

Based on the aforementioned studies, it seems that anatomy learning should be based not only on dissections, but also on complementary methods, such as US. It should be emphasized that works which have been published thus far concern only subjective opinions of the students. To reach definitive conclusions, long-term randomized controlled studies are needed in order to objectively compare US education with other learning modalities and obtain unambiguous results.
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